



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/642,405      | 08/21/2000  | Michael P. Neerer    | 20413Y              | 8029             |

7590 06/25/2003

Alysia A. Finnegan  
c/o Merck & Co., Inc. Patent Dept.  
Ry60-30  
P.O. Box 2000  
Rahway, NJ 07065-0907

EXAMINER

LI, QIAN J

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| 1632     | i3           |

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                                 |                          |                     |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| <b><i>Interview Summary</i></b> | <b>Application No.</b>   | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|                                 | 09/642,405               | NEPER ET AL.        |
|                                 | Examiner<br>Q. Janice Li | Art Unit<br>1632    |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Alysia Finnegan, Appl. Rep.. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Proposed amendment of claims.

Claim(s) discussed: Method claims (24-30).

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendments would overcome rejections associated with written description and enablement as related to written description and type of immune response. The remain issue would be the mode of administration. The Examiner reiterated the standing in Office action paper#11, agreed to withdraw finality, and suggested that applicants submit evidence to support their arguments with regard to broadly claiming any mode of administration for achieving vaccine effect.